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Adolescent, female, and masters athletes have unique nutritional requirements as a consequence of undertaking daily training and competition in addition to the specific demands of age- and gender-related physiological changes. Dietary education and recommendations for these special population athletes require a focus on eating for long-term health, with special consideration given to “at-risk” dietary patterns and nutrients (e.g., sustained restricted eating, low calcium, vitamin D and/or iron intakes relative to requirements). Recent research highlighting strategies to address age-related changes in protein metabolism and the development of tools to assist in the management of Relative Energy Deficiency in Sport are of particular relevance to special population athletes. Whenever possible, special population athletes should be encouraged to meet their nutrient needs by the consumption of whole foods rather than supplements. The recommendation of dietary supplements (particularly to young athletes) overemphasizes their ability to manipulate performance in comparison with other training/dietary strategies.
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Athletics provides many benefits to people, including regular physical activity, social interaction, and the development of self-identity and self-esteem. How the International Association of Athletics Federations supports a positive lifelong connection to athletic pursuits for both men and women is fundamental to ongoing participation in track-and-field events. Responsibility for the provision of appropriate nutrition care to young, female, and/or masters athletes is shared among the sport’s leaders, coaches, parents, teachers, and the athletes themselves. This review incorporates aspects of physiology, psychology, training science, and sociology to describe our current understanding of the nutrition priorities for these special population athletes.

Physiological Changes in Adolescent Athletes

Adolescence is a period of significant growth and physical development that includes altered body composition, metabolic and hormonal fluctuations, maturation of organ systems, and establishment of nutrient deposits, which all may affect future health (Sawyer et al., 2012). In terms of nutrition, adolescence is also an important time in establishing an individual’s lifelong relationship with food, which is particularly important in terms of the connection between diet, exercise, and body image (Desbrow et al., 2014). It is also important to recognize that athletic performance development is nonlinear, with success at junior competitions infrequently translating to success at Olympic or World Athletics Championships (Pizzuto et al., 2017).

During adolescence, adequate energy is required to meet both the growth and development needs of the individual, as well as the substrate demands associated with general physical activity, training, and competition (Aerenhouts et al., 2011). Although group estimates of energy expenditure in adolescent athletes have been reported (i.e., males ∼3,640 ± 830 kcal/day and females ∼3,100 ± 720 kcal/day; Carlsohn et al., 2011), it is difficult to define the individual energy requirements of an adolescent athlete with precision due to metabolic variability within and between individuals (Petrie et al., 2004) and methodological difficulties in estimating both energy intake and energy expenditure (Burke et al., 2001). Furthermore, the energy expenditure associated with the exercise commitments of adolescent athletes may vary substantially due to many factors (e.g., training and competition load, seasonal variation, participation in more than one competitive sport, and concurrent compensatory sedentary behaviors).

The energy needs for growth are a component of the energy requirements of adolescent athletes and consist of two parts: The energy deposited in growing tissues and the energy expended to
synthesize those tissues (Torun, 2005). The energy deposited in growing tissues is small and has been commonly estimated as 8.6 kJ/g of daily weight gain (e.g., for a 15-year-old male gaining 6 kg/year = −140 kJ/day; World Health Organization, 1983).

The energy expended to synthesize new tissues is incorporated in measures of total energy expenditure, such as doubly labeled water. These measures indicate that the energy changes associated with physical activity and/or athletic training are likely to have a much greater influence on energy demands than the increases associated with growth (Torun, 2005). Despite this, it is important to acknowledge that resting metabolic rate is higher in adolescent athletes than adults, and standard predictive equations often underestimate resting metabolic rate compared with measured (up to 300 kcal/day) rate in adolescents (Loureiro et al., 2015).

In additional to enhancing the response to the stimulus of exercise training (Witard et al., 2018), adolescents have additional protein requirements to support general growth and development (Aerenhouts et al., 2011). Total energy intake is important to consider in the assessment of protein requirements because inadequate energy intake will cause protein to be used as a substrate for energy, potentially reducing its availability for its primary functions (Campbell et al., 2007; Petrie et al., 2004). It appears that protein recommendations do not have to increase during periods of peak growth in adolescent athletes (Aerenhouts et al., 2013).

The duration and intensity of exercise sessions determine carbohydrate utilization patterns and refueling requirements (Burke et al., 2017). There is little evidence to suggest that the utilization of carbohydrate in adolescents differs substantially from those of adults (Desbrow et al., 2014). Young individuals appear to have a similar capacity to adults in dealing with thermal loads and exercise-tolerance time during exercise in the heat (Rowland et al., 2008). However, the mechanisms by which young individuals dissipate heat loads during exercise differ from those of adults (Falk & Dotan, 2008). Children and adolescents appear to rely more on peripheral blood redistribution (radiative and conductive cooling) rather than sweating (evaporative cooling) to maintain thermal equilibrium (Falk & Dotan, 2008). There is also evidence that adolescents who undertake regular training adapt by enhanced peripheral vasodilation (Roche et al., 2010), which is likely to improve nonevaporative cooling. Although the timing of the transition from a child-like to an adult-like thermoregulatory mechanism is likely to be related to pubertal development, it appears that these changes do not become physiologically evident until puberty has been completed (Falk et al., 1992).

**Nutritional Needs for Adolescent Athletes**

Suggested energy requirements (incorporating total energy expenditure plus energy deposited in growing tissues) for adolescent populations with different levels of physical activity and/or training have been published (Torun, 2005). It appears that low energy availability (EA) in adolescent athletes undertaking heavy training is common (Muia et al., 2016). This may lead to a number of undesirable health consequences, including delayed puberty, menstrual irregularities, poor bone health, short stature, the development of disordered eating behaviors, and increased risk of injury. Furthermore, in females ≤14 years gynecological age, the effects of low EA may be more pronounced (Loucks, 2006). Conversely, some athletes (particularly in the throwing events) demonstrate anthropometric characteristics consistent with the potential for excessive energy intakes (Hirsch et al., 2016).

Clearly, participation in sport can play an important role in supporting psychological well-being and developing a healthy physical self-image (Ekeland et al., 2005). However, increased rates of disturbed eating attitudes and behaviors are evident in sports that emphasize leanness for optimal performance (Torstveit et al., 2008). It is prudent to suggest that many adolescent athletes will require the knowledge, skills, and support to develop a healthy lifelong relationship with food. Although a number of practical methods to assess the adequacy of EA exist (Mountjoy et al., 2015), these may further serve to focus attention on restrictive dietary behaviors. Consequently, it is appropriate that professional associations advocate for the use of nondieting strategies (Sundgot-Borgen et al., 2013) when addressing weight concerns in young athletes. In addition, the use of training strategies designed to manipulate an adolescent athlete’s physique independent of performance is discouraged, as is any divisive weight-related comments or bullying within athletic environments (Desbrow et al., 2014).

Provided that energy needs are met, protein intake at ~0.11 g·kg⁻¹·hr⁻¹ during postexercise recovery or the equivalent of ~1.5 g·kg⁻¹·day⁻¹ (e.g., ~0.3 g protein/kg × 5 meal times) should be sufficient to replace any exercise-induced amino acid oxidative losses, enhance whole-body net protein balance, and support the normal growth and development of adolescent athletes (Aerenhouts et al., 2013; Mazzulla et al., 2018).

Dietary carbohydrate needs should be considered in light of the training loads and competition characteristics that are typically undertaken by adolescent athletes. These can differ from those undertaken by adult athletes in a number of ways. First, adolescent athletes may be involved with numerous organizations (e.g., schools, clubs, and regions) that create different competition frequencies and formats, such as sports carnivals, representative events, and trials. It is also common for aspiring adolescent athletes to participate in a number of different sports. These different energy demands and subsequent carbohydrate requirements must be considered, particularly when the participation in different sports is concurrent.

There is some evidence suggesting an increased prevalence of heat illness associated with sport and activity in younger athletes (Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, 2011). Heat illness may be influenced by poor hydration status along with other factors, such as undue physical exertion, insufficient cooling between exercise bouts, and inappropriate choices of clothing, including uniforms. Unfortunately, there is no evidence to determine the extent to which (if at all) fluid intake may modulate the risk of heat illness in adolescent athletes. This is because fluid monitoring studies on children and adolescents at risk of heat illness are scarce and often fail to report participants who actually experience heat illnesses (Somboonwong et al., 2012). By contrast, field studies indicate that adolescent athletes can experience significant deficits in fluid (~4% body weight) during training and competition in the heat (Aragon-Vargas et al., 2013). Fluid shifts of this magnitude have the potential to effect exercise performance. It appears prudent, then, to apply the same fluid intake guidelines indicated for adult athletes (Casa et al., 2018).

**Physiological Changes in Masters Athletes**

Aging is associated with a loss of muscle mass and strength/function that is collectively referred to as sarcopenia. Muscle size and strength generally peaks at 20–30 years and begins to decline in middle age (~40 to 50 years), with an acceleration in
older adulthood (generally >70–75 years). Decline in muscle mass is markedly influenced by physical activity, with inactive and sedentary individuals experiencing a more rapid decline that is generally preceded by the development of “anabolic resistance” (i.e., a reduced ability to utilize dietary amino acids for muscle protein synthesis; Moore, 2014; Wittard et al., 2018). Physical activity, especially resistance exercise, can counteract the deleterious effects of inactivity in aging and, therefore, it is unclear if masters athletes experience similar rates of anabolic resistance as the general population. The loss of muscle mass with age may be related to a decrease in total muscle fibers (Doering, Jenkins, et al., 2016), perhaps secondary to a loss of motor neurons (Hunter et al., 2016) and muscle fiber size (especially of Type II fibers; Nilwik et al., 2013), although lifelong masters athletes may experience little change in muscle fiber composition (Trappe et al., 1995) and an attenuated decline in muscle strength relative to their sedentary peers (Crane et al., 2013).

It has been reported that up to ~30% of male athletes over age 50 years have some level of testosterone deficiency (Di Luigi et al., 2010), which would be consistent with a condition sometimes referred to as “andropause” or “exercise-hypogonadal male condition.” Although providing supplemental testosterone to hypogonadal men improves muscle strength (Bhasin et al., 1997) and increases red blood cell mass (Traustadottir et al., 2018), currently, therapeutic use exemptions are only approved for hypogonadism with an organic etiology (excludes age-related hypogonadism; World Anti-Doping Agency, 2018). Similarly, the decline in estrogen with menopause is associated with a more rapid decline in muscle mass (Chen et al., 2005), and estrogen is associated with an increase in lipid oxidation with sparing of both glycogen and protein (Tarnopolsky, 2008). Therefore, hormonal replacement therapy in postmenopausal women may confer some ergogenic benefit to female masters athletes. Concomitant with an aging-associated decline in muscle and lean body mass (LBM), there is a general increase in adiposity with age. Thus, sports that require a high strength-to-mass ratio may be affected, to a greater extent, with age.

The age of peak performance in athletics is relatively stable across sport disciplines and generally occurs in early to mid-30s (Tanaka & Seals, 2003). After this age, athletic performance steadily decreases up to ~50 to 60 years of age, after which the declines accelerate in a curvilinear fashion (Tanaka & Seals, 2003). Although some of the decline in endurance performance may reflect a decrease in training volume and/or intensity in masters athletes (Tanaka & Seals, 2003), there are also documented physiological changes that can underpin these performance changes. For example, maximal oxygen consumption decreases ~10% per decade after the age of ~25 years irrespective of fitness level (Hawksin & Wiswell, 2003). This decline in VO2peak may be related to both central (maximal heart rate and stroke volume) and peripheral (arteriovenous oxygen difference) factors. One of the most common findings in endurance athletes is an age-related reduction in maximal heart rate of ~3% to 5% per decade (Hawksin & Wiswell, 2003). Although the physiological basis is not clear, stroke volume may also be moderately reduced in older compared with younger endurance athletes (Tanaka & Seals, 2003) who can compound the impact of an age-related reduction in maximal heart rate on cardiac output. Peripherally, older adults experience a reduction in capillaries per fiber but, due to the general reduction in fiber size, may have slightly greater capillaries per fiber area, which would help with muscle oxygen delivery. There is a consistent decline in mitochondrial capacity with aging seen in most studies, albeit some show no effect (Proctor et al., 1995). The absolute VO2 at lactate threshold may be reduced in masters athletes, but the percentage of VO2 at lactate threshold is similar to or slightly greater than younger athletes (Marcell et al., 2003). Thus, although peripheral arteriovenous O2 differences can contribute ~30% to the age-related reduction in VO2peak (Tanaka & Seals, 2003), a reduction in cardiac output, likely secondary to decreases in maximal heart rate, is the variable primarily responsible for the reduced aerobic capacity in masters athletes. Finally, older adults have lower muscle glycogen and GLUT4 content compared with younger adults; however, they can increase these with endurance exercise training (Cartee, 1994).

Masters athletes involved in sports that require high strength and/or muscle power are likely to experience gradual decreases in exercise performance. The loss of strength with age is related in part to the reduction in muscle fiber size and motor units, especially of the high-force Type II fibers (Hunter et al., 2016). When normalized to total muscle or fiber area, there is little difference in specific force or inherent contractile properties (e.g., peak torque, contraction velocity, peak power) of type I and IIa fibers in older compared with younger adults (Trappe et al., 2003), suggesting the loss of muscle strength with age may be due primarily to the quantity rather than quality of skeletal muscle. However, neuromuscular changes proximal to the muscle fiber may also contribute to the loss of strength and/or ability to produce high-velocity force, such as reductions in neuronal conduction velocity, motor unit discharge rates, and/or neuromuscular junction stability (Hunter et al., 2016).

Nutritional Needs for Masters Athletes

Although individuals can commence master athletics competition at 35 years (World Masters Athletics), the majority of research investigating metabolic and nutritional requirements in the older athlete are done in those aged >55 years. Of particular interest are investigations focused on the requirements for attaining and/or maintaining strength and/or power (field sports and sprinting) and endurance (track events ≥800 m). The primary outcomes of interest for strength and/or power athletes will be studies related to enhancement of LBM, strength, and/or performance in relevant tasks. For endurance events, the main outcome variables for consideration will be improvements in performance in endurance-specific sport or changes in body composition/metabolism that would influence performance.

Given the well-known loss of muscle mass with human aging, it is particularly important for masters athletes to pay attention to dietary protein intake. The total protein intake for masters athletes trying to optimize strength and power gains during training should be ≥1.2 g·kg−1·day−1 (Morton, Taylor, et al., 2018); however, this level can go up if energy intake is suboptimal, at the onset of an increased intensity/volume of exercise, or if the quality of the dietary protein is low (e.g., an unbalanced amino acid profile often associated with isolated plant-based proteins). It is important for the master athlete to try to consume high-quality protein (i.e., egg white, milk, fish, meats) or, if vegetarian, to try to get optimal amino acids balance via careful dietary review, ideally with a qualified sport nutritionist/dietitian. A broad distribution of protein ingestion also appears to positively influence net protein balance. For example, consuming protein shortly after exercise (Burd et al., 2012; Widdat et al., 2018) and/or later in the day both positively impact the adaptive response (Hoflerda et al., 2016), with these benefits appearing independent of acute exercise (Trummel et al., 2016).
To optimize lean mass gains during resistance exercise, masters athletes should aim to consume meal protein intakes of \(-0.4\ g/kg\) of high-quality protein after the training bout and regularly throughout the day (e.g., 3–4 times) to meet a daily target of \(-1.5\ to\ 1.6\ g\ kg^{-1}\ day^{-1}\) (Morton, Murphy, et al., 2018). When possible, whole-food sources of protein should be a target to practically acknowledge food matrix interactions, and other nutrient requirements, for optimizing the use of protein in the diet.

Several studies have found that male endurance athletes require \(1.6–1.8\ g\ kg^{-1}\ day^{-1}\) for optimal protein and/or amino acid homeostasis, with young women requiring \(-25\%\) lower intakes due to the estrogen-mediated decreases in amino acid oxidation (Witard et al., 2018). It is likely that postmenopausal master women would have protein requirements similar to that of men. Although the short-term effects of suboptimal protein intakes are unclear, it is possible that impaired mitochondrial biogenesis, less red blood cell expansion, and/or increased oxidative and/or repair/remodeling of structural/regulatory proteins could all contribute to deleterious effects on endurance performance. Although the dietary protein intake required to support nitrogen balance is similar among late middle-aged athletes (\(-52\) years) compared with younger (\(-27\) years) athletes (Meredith et al., 1989), no study to date has specifically evaluated the protein requirement of older (\(>65\) years) masters athletes to maximize net whole-body or muscle protein accretion. Thus, although the “anabolic resistance” of aging at the muscle level may be mediated primarily by a reduction in physical activity, it is unclear if master endurance athletes require greater protein than their late middle-aged peers to support the adaptive response to exercise training.

Consequently, it is likely carbohydrate loading strategies will also work in masters athletes, provided they consume \(>8.0\ g\ kg^{-1}\ day^{-1}\) of carbohydrate. The latter suggestion is particularly important for female master endurance athletes, where the average carbohydrate intakes are often reduced (Doering, Reaburn, et al., 2016). There is no evidence that within- or postexercise carbohydrate supplementation recommendations for younger athletes would differ for masters athletes.

Finally, given the fact that even younger (18–39 years) elite and professional athletes have dental issues (i.e., pain in 30%) that can affect performance (Gallagher et al., 2018), it is likely that such issues are even more prevalent in older athletes. Given concerns about enamel loss and the potential for thermal sensitivity and/or caries in those who regularly consume sport drinks (Venables et al., 2005), it is imperative that masters athletes engage in regular dental care.

### Physiological Differences Between Male and Female Athletes

The ovarian hormones, estrogen and progesterone, are responsible for many of the sex differences observed in fuel metabolism. Unlike males, females experience changes to their reproductive hormonal milieu throughout their life span. The female reproductive cycle extends from menarche to the menopause in a circannual rhythm, which ranges from 21 to 35 days (Cable & Elliott, 2004). Strenuous athletic training, high exercise energy expenditure, low energy intake, or a combination of both that result in low EA, may affect the normal menstrual cycle, resulting in subclinical (shortened luteal phase defect and anovulation) and clinical (oligomenorrhea, primary and secondary functional hypothalamic amenorrhea) menstrual dysfunctions (Loucks, Verdun, & Heath, 1998).

Apart from menopause (see “Nutritional Needs for Master Athletes”), the menstrual cycle is also disrupted by hormonal contraceptives, which downregulate endogenous estrogen and progesterone. Oral contraceptives, the most popular type of hormonal contraceptives, can differ in terms of estrogenic and progestogenic content (low and high dose) and the androgenicity and potency of progesterone. Recent investigations suggest that the use of combined oral contraceptives is associated with elevations in markers of oxidative stress and low-grade inflammation in athletic populations (Cauci et al., 2016, 2017). Furthermore, fluctuations in sex steroid hormones (via the menstrual cycle, pregnancy, menopause, hormone replacement therapy, or hormonal contraceptive use) have been associated with changes in, namely, whole-body substrate utilization (as discussed below) without an apparent direct impact on the fed-state muscle adaptive response to exercise (West et al., 2012). Specifically, during pregnancy, energy intake needs to be increased on a trimester basis: 150, 200, and 300 kcal/day during the first, second, and third trimester in underweight women (pregnancy body mass index) and 0, 350, and 500 kcal/day in normal weight women (Elliott-Sale et al., 2018). These requirements are increased further with increased exercise energy expenditure (Artal & O’Toole, 2003).

### Nutritional Needs for Female Athletes

Although the Relative Energy Deficiency in Sport paradigm (Mountjoy et al., 2014) has been linked with adverse physiological and performance-related outcomes in men, the synergistic effects of low EA on reproductive hormones put females particularly at risk of negative outcomes. EA in athletics is described in detail by Mountjoy et al. (2018), which covers the prevention and treatment of low EA with and without eating disorders and disordered eating.

When low EA is not an issue, the duration, intensity, and frequency of exercise are the main determinants of athletes’ nutritional needs, regardless of sex. Nutrient recommendations are generally standardized according to body mass, which helps to normalize nutrient intakes across athletes of difference sizes and statures. However, it is important to recognize that the majority of sports-specific nutritional research is conducted in male athletes who generally are not only larger than their female counterparts, but also have a greater LBM-to-fat mass ratio. In addition, fluctuations in estrogen and progesterone have been shown to influence these requirements. For example, from a practical perspective, fluctuations in body composition may occur during the luteal phase of the menstrual cycle as a result of fluid retention caused by the high levels of progesterone during this phase. Athletes with high levels of LBM may experience increases in body weight (between 2 and 2.5 kg), which may potentially influence performance. As such, body weight and composition should be measured at the same time during the menstrual cycle to assess true individual characteristics. Moreover, estrogen has been shown to have a protein-sparing effect by reducing the reliance on amino acid oxidation at the expense of an increase in lipolysis and fatty acid oxidation (Phillips et al., 1993), which could lead to a slightly lower protein requirement in female athletes who rely on oxidative metabolism for their discipline when this hormone is low and/or progesterone is high. Female athletes in the luteal phase have been reported to have a reduced reliance on muscle glycogen during steady state, submaximal exercise in the fasted state compared with the follicular phase and male athletes (Devries et al., 2006). This highlights differences in carbohydrate metabolism across the menstrual cycle.
By contrast, female athletes retain the capacity to oxidize exogenous carbohydrates at a similar rate as male athletes (Wallis et al., 2006), suggesting carbohydrate fueling recommendations would be broadly similar between sexes. Ultimately, the hormonal heterogeneity of female athletes makes it difficult to produce global nutritional guidelines for female athletes and highlights the need for research representing specific female populations (e.g., eumenorheic, amenorheic, hormonal contraceptive users).

The sexual dimorphism in substrate metabolism is well known, although there is a dearth of female-specific data. Much of the research published on substrate metabolism and menstrual cycle and oral contraceptives during endurance exercise was conducted ~20 years ago (e.g., Hackney, 1990; Suh et al., 2003), and results from these studies are conflicting. These discrepancies may be due to methodological inconsistencies in the population (e.g., type of oral contraceptive used, misidentification of menstrual cycle phase, and diverse participant characteristics such as body mass, exercise modality, and diet; Tarnopolsky, 2008). Fewer studies have been conducted on this topic in the last decade (see Castell et al., 2019), meaning that future research is still needed to overcome previous study design issues and to include newer types of hormonal contraceptives, given their rising prevalence in athletic populations (Martin et al., 2018).

Female athletes may also want to consider the effects of heavy menstrual bleeding and iron status (Pedlar, Brugnara, Bruinvels, & Burden, 2018) and fluctuations in basal body temperature during the menstrual cycle and their effect on thermoregulation and fluid intake (Hashimoto, Ishijima, Suzuki, & Higuchi, 2016), which may influence their nutritional practices. In addition, pregnant athletes may need to redress their energy intake (adding >300 kcal/day depending on exercise energy expenditure), especially carbohydrate intake, as carbohydrate usage is increased at rest and during weight- and non-weight-bearing exercise during pregnancy (Artal & O’Toole, 2003).

In the last 10 years, few scientific papers have been devoted to the specific nutritional needs of female athletes. Although useful, many of the recent papers refer to both sexes (Heikura et al., 2017) and non-sex-specific athletes (Burkhart & Pelly, 2016) or relate to a specific subpopulation of female exercisers (e.g., female college athletes; Shriver et al., 2013) or a single female competing in a 1-day event (Moran et al., 2011). Clearly, more research is required to elucidate the nutritional needs of female athletes; this research should not be limited to models of low EA, such as the triad and Relative Energy Deficiency in Sport, and should encompass all types of female athletes, from nonhormonal contraceptive users (e.g., eumenorheic, amenorheic, oligomenorheic), to hormonal contraceptive users, to postmenopausal masters athletes.

Conclusions
Adolescent, female, and/or masters athletes have unique nutritional issues. These special population groups require support to consume a diet to meet the demands of their chosen athletic pursuit (i.e., attainment/maintenance of musculoskeletal strength, power, and/or endurance), while maintaining a focus on overall athlete health and well-being.
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